

Comment on: Critical behavior of the
spin diluted 2D Ising model: A grand ensemble
approach
by R. Kühn

Aernout C. D. van Enter

Institute for Theoretical Physics, Rijksuniversiteit Groningen

Nijenborgh 4, Groningen, the Netherlands

aenter@phys.rug.nl

C. Külske

WIAS, Mohrenstrasse 39, Berlin, Germany

kuelske@wias-berlin.de

C. Maes

Instituut voor Theoretische Fysica, K.U.Leuven.

Celestijnlaan 200D, Leuven, Belgium

Christian.Maes@fys.kuleuven.be

October 27, 1999

PACS: 75.50Lk, 05.50+q, 02.50Cv

In this Comment we want to point out that the grand ensemble approach applied in [3] suffers from being ill-defined on the model under consideration.

We remind the reader that the grand ensemble approach which apparently goes back to Morita [7] consists in rewriting the weights in the quenched average

$$P(\underline{n}, \sigma) = P(\underline{n}) \frac{1}{Z_{\underline{n}}} \exp -H_{\underline{n}}(\sigma) \quad (0.1)$$

as Gibbsian weights $\exp -H^\phi(\underline{n}, \sigma)/Z^\phi$ in an annealed average for some effective Hamiltonian $H^\phi(\underline{n}, \sigma)$. Here the \underline{n} denote the occupation number variables, which are 0 or 1 independently on each site with a certain prescribed dilution probability, and the σ denote Ising spins, which are present on occupied sites, and interact at inverse temperature β via a nearest-neighbor interaction.

The "disorder potential" ϕ describes the difference between the original Hamiltonian and this effective Hamiltonian.

The assumption that an effective Hamiltonian exists for some given distribution (measure) is not an innocent one as has been known for some time [9]. Indeed, recently ([8] and [4]) it was proven that in the thermodynamic limit there does *not* exist a well-behaved interaction potential, describing such an effective disorder-potential Hamiltonian. In other words, due to severe nonlocalities, these quenched measures are non-Gibbsian, for the model of Kühn [8], as well as for more general disordered models [4], just as in [9] various renormalized measures were shown to

be.

We emphasize that this result occurs at low temperatures, but at arbitrary dilution. Hence critical points, as well as open regions in the dilution density-temperature plane around them, of the model studied in [3] are certainly affected. Thus the approximations used in [3] are intrinsically uncontrolled.

How reliable the conclusions reached in [3] are, remains therefore to be seen.

On the negative side, nonlocalities can of course strongly influence long-range properties, and critical properties are preeminently long-range properties.

On a more positive side, as in various other examples [10], one can show very generally [5] that these quenched measures belong to the "weakly Gibbsian" class, cf. [1, 2, 10, 6]. Moreover, for the ferromagnetic Gibbs state, there is really an expansion of the (almost surely defined) interaction potential in terms of the form $\lambda_P \prod_{i \in P} n_i$ where P is running over the connected plaquettes on the lattice (as was used in [3]). Such an expansion does not always exist; for the random Dobrushin-state for example it does not (although an expansion of a different form does exist)[5].

It might be that this Gibbsian restoration of non-Gibbsian states (as carried out explicitly in e.g. [6]) can to some extent explain that, as with renormalization group computations, often the results obtained by a priori mathematically objectionable methods turn out to be surprisingly good.

We claim that our results go some way in meeting the desire expressed in [3] that " a deeper understanding of our approach would... be welcome".

References

- [1] J. Bricmont, A. Kupiainen and R. Lefevere, Renormalization group pathologies and the definition of Gibbs states. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 194:359–388, 1998.
- [2] R. L. Dobrushin and S. B. Shlosman “Non-Gibbsian” states and their Gibbs description. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 200:125–179, 1999.
- [3] R. Kühn. Critical behavior of the randomly spin diluted 2D Ising model: A grand ensemble approach. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 73:2268–2271, 1994.
- [4] C. Külske. Non-Gibbsianness and phase transitions in random lattice spin models. *Markov Proc. and Related Fields*, 5: 357-388, 1999
- [5] C. Külske. Weakly Gibbsian representations for joint measures of quenched lattice spin models, available at <http://www.ma.utexas.edu/mp-arc/> preprint 99-411.
- [6] C. Maes, F. Redig, S. Shlosman and A. Van Moffaert Percolation, Path Large Deviations and Weak Gibbsianity. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 208: 517–545, 2000.
- [7] T. Morita. Statistical Mechanics of quenched solid solutions with application to magnetically dilute alloys. *J. Math. Phys.*, 5:1402–1405, 1964.
- [8] A. C. D. van Enter, C. Maes, R. H. Schonmann and S. B. Shlosman. The Griffiths singularity random field. Dobrushin memorial volume, Am. Math. Soc., to appear.

- [9] A. C. D. van Enter, R. Fernández, and A. D. Sokal. Renormalization transformations in the vicinity of first-order phase transitions: What can and cannot go wrong. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 66:3253–3256, 1991, and Regularity properties and pathologies of position-space renormalization-group transformations: Scope and limitations of Gibbsian theory. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 72:879–1167, 1993, and A. C. D. van Enter and R. Fernández. Problems with the definition of momentum-space renormalization transformations. *Phys. Rev. E*, 59:5165–5171, 1999.
- [10] A. C. D. van Enter, C. Maes and S. B. Shlosman. Dobrushin’s program on Gibbsianity restoration: Weakly Gibbsian and almost Gibbsian fields. Dobrushin memorial volume, Am. Math. Soc., to appear.